

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,988	08/29/2	2003	Marwan H. Khater	FIS920030097US1	1987
32074	7590	01/07/2005		EXAM	INER
INTERNA	ATIONAL BUS	SINESS MACI	DANG, PHUC T		
DEPT. 180	-		ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLDG. 300	0-482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2070 ROU	TE 52		2818		
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Astion Comments	10/604,988	KHATER ET AL.8				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		PHUC T DANG	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed on November 30, 2004.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 8-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 13-26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10-12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/604,988 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) rejection.

Amendment

2. Amendment filed on November 30, 3004 has been acknowledged.

In Amendment, Applicants cancel claims 1-7 and 27-30.

Claims 8-26 are currently pending in the application for examining at this time.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath/declaration filed on August 29, 2003 is acceptable.

Specification

4. The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,988 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

5. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al.,

hereinafter "Lee" (U.S. Patent No. 5,506,157).

Regarding claim 8, Lee discloses a transistor comprising:

a portion of an emitter region (28, Fig. 4K) that is distanced (Fig. 4K) from an intrinsic

base (27, Fig. 4K).

Lee discloses the features of the claimed invention as discussed above, but does not

disclose an emitting landing pad. However, the emitting landing pad is considered to be obvious

in variation of design, since the portion of the emitter region should have an emitting landing pad

for electrical connection in the circuit.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the teaching of Lee discussed above such that the emitting landing

pad that is distanced from the intrinsic base for a purpose of providing higher electrical

performance of the transistors.

Regarding claim 9, Lee discloses the remaining portion is distanced (25, Fig. 4K) from the

intrinsic base (27, Fig. 4K) by extrinsic base layer (24, Fig. 4K), and the extrinsic base layer (24,

Fig. 4K) includes an oxide section (34, Fig. 4K and col. 5, lines 1-3) that determines a distance

between an emitter (28, Fig. 4K) and an extrinsic base (24, Fig. 4K).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13-26 would be allowed.

The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 13-26 are considered allowable since the prior art of record and the considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed invention having a remaining portion of an emitter landing pad that separates each of the first and second extrinsic base layer from one another adjacent the emitter as recited in claim 13 and an oxide section in an extrinsic base layer, the oxide portion extending below a part of the remaining portion, wherein a width of the oxide section determines an amount of base resistance as recited in claim 23.

Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the Prior Art of record discloses a width of the oxide section determines a base resistance as recited in claim 10 and the width of the oxide section determines a length of the remaining portion that current must traverse as current passes through the extrinsic base as recited in claim 11 and the thickness of the oxide section is sufficient to prevent current from having to traverse the remaining portion as recited in claim 12.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am-5:00 pm.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization

Art Unit: 2818

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PD Langphur

Phuc T. Dang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818